## Case 1:22-cr-00280 LANGE AND A STATES DISTRICT: GO UP / 08/22 Page 1 of 2

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 1:22-CR-280 ADA BAM
Plaintiff,	
V.	DETENTION ORDER
RICHARD EARL FRANCIS,	
Defendant.	
A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	
<ul> <li>B. Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. </li> </ul>	
Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following:    X	f controlled substances.  dant is high.  an mental condition which may affect whether the  mily ties in the area.  deady employment.  abstantial financial resources.  de resident of the community.  my known significant community ties.  ating to drug abuse.  ating to alcohol abuse.

## Defendant: RICHARD FARL FRANCIS Case Number: 1:22-CR-280 ADA BAM Document 12 Filed 11/08/22 Page 2 of 2 Page 2 or 2

(b) Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court;
At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
Probation
Parole
Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
(c) Other Factors:
The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
Other: lengthy meth. use; no bond package, serious nature and circumstances, prior felony and misdemeanor convictions
(4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:
(5) Rebuttable Presumptions
In determining that the defendant should be detained, the court also relied on the following
rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e), which the court finds the
defendant has not rebutted:
a. The crime charged is one described in § 3142(f)(1).
(A) a crime of violence; or
(B) an offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
(C) a controlled substance violation that has a maximum penalty of ten years or
more; or
(D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses
described in (A) through (C) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction of one of
the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which is less than five years old and
which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release  b. There is probable cause to believe that defendant committed an offense for which a
maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed
in the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801, et seq.,
the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 951, et seq.,
the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act, 46 U.S.C. App. §§ 1901, et seq., or
an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.
an offense involving a minor under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(a)(a), 2252A(a)(a), 2252A(a)(a)(a), 2252A(a)(a), 2252A(a), 2252A(
2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.  D. Additional Directives
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility
separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal
The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for
the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.  IT IS SO ORDERED.
D. J. Namenchen 9, 2022
Dated: November 8, 2022 /s/Barbara A. McAuliff
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE